

Solubility of the Hypothalamic Hormones TSH-Releasing Factor (TRF) and LH-Releasing Factor (LRF) in Organic and Alcoholic Solvents

Recent evidence from this laboratory has been accumulating in favor of the concept that the hypothalamic hormone TRF (TSH-releasing factor) is not a simple polypeptide¹⁻⁴. We have recently reported⁴ on high resolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of TRF; these spectra were obtained in D₂O. During the course of these studies it became necessary to investigate the possibility of using deuterated solvents other than D₂O to explore in more detail the complete resonance spectrum. As a prerequisite to the contemplated NMR studies, we were led to investigate the solubility of purified TRF in (non-deuterated) organic solvents. Results obtained with CHCl₃, methanol and pyridine suggested further studies on the solubility and extractability of TRF and LRF (LH-releasing factor) in these and related solvents, earlier reports in the literature having already shown the possibility of extracting LRF or TRF with phenol or glacial acetic acid⁵⁻⁷ at various stages of purification.

It is the purpose of this note to report on these recent observations.

Materials and methods. (A) *Purified preparations of TRF and LRF.* For the studies on the solubility of TRF in organic solvents, we used a purified preparation with a specific activity of 80 U/mg⁸, prepared through stage 3 of the purification sequence described in ¹. Solvents used were Fisher Certified Reagent grade. Aliquots containing 1.5 mg dry weight of the TRF preparation were extracted at 38°C for 18 and 26 h respectively in 1.0 ml chloroform and pyridine, and in absolute methanol for 3 h at room temperature. Each mixture was then centrifuged in 1.0 ml at 2000 g for 5-15 min, the supernatant was drawn off and the residue was washed twice with 1 ml portion of solvent. The combined supernatants were concentrated to dryness in vacuo at 45°C. Extracts and residues were redissolved in 0.9% saline for the bioassays. All bioassays were 4-point assays, with 2 doses (5 U and 15 U) of the TRF preparation used in the various extraction procedures vs 2 equivalent doses of extracts and residues. The assay for TRF is the current version of the *in vivo* method described previously⁹, see also ¹⁰. Partition between dichloromethane and water was studied using a preparation of highly purified TRF (15,000 U/mg)³; the bioassay in this case was conducted at 1 dose level only.

For studies on the solubility of LRF in organic solvents we used a purified preparation corresponding to stage 1 of the sequence described in ¹. Aliquots of 240 mg of this LRF preparation were extracted in 20 ml chloroform or

Table I. (a) Studies on solubility of purified TRF in chloroform, methanol, pyridine and partition between water/dichloromethane; (b) studies on the extraction of TRF from crude starting materials by absolute or 90% methanol

Protocol No.	Treatments	No. of animals	Adjusted mean \pm S.E.	P
7865 (a) 18-h extractions	Saline control	5	2.357 \pm 0.014	
	TRF S ₁	5	2.428 \pm 0.013	**
	TRF S ₂	5	2.483 \pm 0.013	**
	CHCl ₃ extract U ₁	5	2.368 \pm 0.013	-
	CHCl ₃ extract U ₂	5	2.435 \pm 0.014	**
	Pyridine extract X ₁	5	2.349 \pm 0.013	-
	Pyridine extract X ₂	5	2.358 \pm 0.013	-
7876 (a) 26-h extractions	Saline control	5	2.379 \pm 0.019	
	TRF S ₁	5	2.527 \pm 0.019	**
	TRF S ₂	5	2.589 \pm 0.019	**
	CHCl ₃ extract U ₁	5	2.460 \pm 0.019	*
	CHCl ₃ extract U ₂	5	2.558 \pm 0.019	**
	CHCl ₃ residue V ₁	5	2.443 \pm 0.019	-
	CHCl ₃ residue V ₂	5	2.520 \pm 0.019	**
	Pyridine residue A ₁ ^c	5	2.500 \pm 0.019	**
	Pyridine residue A ₂	5	2.585 \pm 0.019	**
7888 (a)	Saline control	5	2.303 \pm 0.013	
	TRF S ₁	5	2.368 \pm 0.013	**
	TRF S ₂	5	2.430 \pm 0.013	**
	Absolute methanol extract A ₁	5	2.357 \pm 0.013	*
	Absolute methanol extract A ₂	5	2.426 \pm 0.013	**
	Absolute methanol residue B ₁	5	2.306 \pm 0.013	-
	Absolute methanol residue B ₂	5	2.289 \pm 0.013	-
	Pyridine residue C ₁ ^d	5	2.378 \pm 0.013	**
	Pyridine residue C ₂	5	2.423 \pm 0.013	**
7409 (a)	Saline control	5	2.283 \pm 0.017	
	TRF ^e	5	2.445 \pm 0.017	**
	Dichloromethane phase ^e	5	2.313 \pm 0.018	-
	Aqueous phase ^e	5	2.451 \pm 0.017	**
7897 (b)	Saline control	5	2.219 \pm 0.027	
	Absolute methanol extract A ₁	5	2.288 \pm 0.026	-
	Absolute methanol extract A ₂	5	2.382 \pm 0.026	**
	Absolute methanol extract boiled B ₁	5	2.298 \pm 0.026	-
	Absolute methanol extract boiled B ₂	5	2.410 \pm 0.029	**
	2 N HOAc extract C ₁	3	2.396 \pm 0.033	**
	2 N HOAc extract C ₂	4	2.450 \pm 0.030	**
	2 N HOAc extract boiled D ₁	4	2.310 \pm 0.029	-
7917 (b)	2 N HOAc extract boiled D ₂	5	2.506 \pm 0.026	**
	Saline control	5	2.190 \pm 0.018	
	90% methanol extract U ₁	5	2.281 \pm 0.018	*
	90% methanol extract U ₂	5	2.331 \pm 0.018	**
	90% methanol residue X ₁	5	2.245 \pm 0.018	-
	90% methanol residue X ₂	5	2.255 \pm 0.019	-
	Absolute methanol residue P ₁ ^f	5	2.272 \pm 0.018	*
	Absolute methanol residue P ₂	5	2.363 \pm 0.018	**

Adjusted mean \pm S.E. = abridged log₁₀ of the mean value of the radioactivity (c/min) measured in the 2nd blood sample after covariance adjustment to radioactivity of 1st blood sample, calculated as in ¹³. S.E., standard error. P, probability of statistical difference when compared to the control group by the multiple comparison test of DUNNETT¹³; -, not significant; * 0.05 level; ** 0.01 level. Experimental treatments administered at 2 dose-levels with equal geometric intervals in each experiment, for calculating potency ratios in 4-point assays (not reported here); the subscripts 1 and 2 (such as in S₁, S₂) refer respectively to the low and high dose; ^c residue from the 18-h pyridine extraction from experiment 7865; ^d residue from the 26-h pyridine extraction from experiment 7876; ^e treatments at 1 dose level (0.5 μ g TRF, 15,000 U/mg); ^f residue from the absolute methanol extraction from experiment 7897.

¹ R. GUILLEMIN, R. BURGUS, E. SAKIZ and D. N. WARD, C. r. hebdom. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris 262, 2278 (1966).

² R. BURGUS, D. N. WARD, E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, C. r. hebdom. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris 262, 2643 (1966).

³ R. BURGUS, R. N. STILLWELL, J. A. McCLOSKEY, D. N. WARD, E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, Physiologist, Wash. 9, 149 (1966).

⁴ R. BURGUS and R. GUILLEMIN, Fedn Proc. Fedn Am. Soc. exp. Biol. 26, March 1967.

⁵ A. V. SCHALLY and C. Y. BOWERS, Endocrinology 75, 608 (1964).

⁶ A. V. SCHALLY, C. Y. BOWERS, T. W. REDDING and J. F. BARRETT, Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun. 25, 165 (1966).

⁷ A. P. S. DHARIWAL, J. ANTUNES-RODRIGUES and S. M. McCANN, Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med. 178, 999 (1965).

⁸ R. GUILLEMIN and E. SAKIZ, Nature 207, 297 (1965).

⁹ E. YAMAZAKI, E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, Experientia 19, 480 (1963).

¹⁰ E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, Endocrinology 77, 797 (1965).

methanol at room temperature for 2 h. After centrifugation at 2000 *g* for 10 min, extracts and residues were dried and redissolved in 0.01*N* acetic acid in physiological saline for the bioassays. The assay utilized to assess LRF activity is the ovarian ascorbic acid depletion method modified from PARLOW and calculated as in ¹¹; the 2 ovaries are removed 3 h apart and the ascorbic acid content of the second ovary is adjusted by covariance to the ascorbic acid content of the first ovary.

(B) *Crude preparations of TRF and LRF.* For the studies on extractability of TRF and LRF from crude starting material, the 2*N* acetic acid extract of the acetone powder of 2,000 sheep hypothalamic fragments prepared as in ¹ was used. The extraction was carried out at room

temperature for 12 h, using 100 ml of chloroform, absolute methanol or aqueous methanol (90%) for 10 g of dry powder. The bioassays for TRF and LRF were similar to those described above in (A); LRF and TRF activities were assayed at doses corresponding to 2 and 6 hypothalamic fragments/assay animal.

All calculations for all bioassays reported here are handled by electronic computers (IBM 7094) using the program EXBIOL written by SAKIZ or its modification EXBIOL in the case of LRF assays.

Results and discussion. It can be seen from the results presented in Table I that purified TRF (80 U/mg) is partially soluble in chloroform, highly soluble in absolute methanol and completely insoluble in pyridine. Other results in Table I show that TRF activity can be extracted by absolute methanol from the crude 2*N* acetic acid extract of the acetone powder of sheep hypothalamic fragments. The absence of significant difference between the results obtained with boiled (15 min in boiling water) vs non-boiled extract would indicate that little, if any, TSH is present in the extracts at the relatively small quantities administered, as it would be expected that boiling would inactivate TSH on the basis of many data available in the literature and confirmed in this laboratory. Table I shows also that highly purified TRF is not extractable from water by dichloromethane.

The results presented in Table II show that LRF is not soluble in chloroform (all the activity being recovered in the residue) and that it is highly soluble in absolute methanol. On the other hand, absolute methanol does not appear to be a choice solvent to extract LRF from crude starting material; aqueous methanol (90%) being much more efficient in this respect.

In the past we have used aqueous methanol extraction for CRF from crude hypothalamic extracts¹² and also from crude posterior pituitary extract. The results reported here show that solubility in organic or alcoholic solvents may also be used to advantage at some stage of the purification of TRF and LRF¹⁴.

Résumé. L'hormone hypothalamique TRF (TSH-releasing factor) est soluble dans l'alcool méthylique à 90%, partiellement soluble dans l'alcool méthylique absolu ou le chloroforme; TRF n'est pas soluble dans la pyridine. Le chlorure de méthylène (dichlorométhane) n'extraie pas le TRF d'une solution aqueuse (préparation hautement purifiée, 15000 U/mg). L'hormone hypothalamique LRF (LH-releasing factor) n'est pas soluble dans le chloroforme mais est soluble dans l'alcool méthylique absolu ou à 90%.

R. BURGUS, M. S. AMOSS and R. GUILLEMIN

*Department of Physiology, Baylor University College of Medicine, Houston (Texas 77025, USA),
17th February 1967.*

Table II. (a) Solubility of purified LRF in chloroform, absolute methanol and 90% methanol; (b) extraction of LRF from crude starting material by absolute or 90% methanol

Protocol No.	Treatments	No. of animals	Adjusted mean \pm S.E.	P
7868 (a)	Saline control	5	150.76 \pm 3.16	
	LRF control	5	116.80 \pm 3.15	**
	CHCl ₃ extract X ₁	5	145.45 \pm 3.18	-
	CHCl ₃ extract X ₂	5	143.10 \pm 3.15	-
	CHCl ₃ residue A ₁	5	120.12 \pm 3.16	**
	CHCl ₃ residue A ₂	5	112.01 \pm 3.26	**
7896 (a)	Saline control	5	160.00 \pm 4.41	
	LRF control	5	132.34 \pm 4.48	**
	Absolute methanol extract A ₁	5	124.09 \pm 4.42	**
	Absolute methanol extract A ₂	5	112.71 \pm 4.42	**
	Absolute methanol residue B ₁	5	151.25 \pm 4.45	-
	Absolute methanol residue B ₂	5	154.06 \pm 4.45	-
7904 (b)	Saline control	5	143.52 \pm 6.05	
	Absolute methanol extract X ₁	5	135.37 \pm 6.00	-
	Absolute methanol extract X ₂	5	123.91 \pm 6.22	*
	Absolute methanol extract (boiled) U ₁	5	136.17 \pm 6.07	-
	Absolute methanol extract (boiled) U ₂	5	122.34 \pm 6.12	*
	2 <i>N</i> HOAc extract P ₁	5	93.51 \pm 6.02	**
	2 <i>N</i> HOAc extract P ₂	5	98.62 \pm 6.08	**
	2 <i>N</i> HOAc extract (boiled) Q ₁	5	121.54 \pm 6.00	*
	2 <i>N</i> HOAc extract (boiled) Q ₂	5	99.31 \pm 6.00	**
7915 (b)	Saline control	5	137.08 \pm 5.13	
	90% methanol extract U ₁	4	105.12 \pm 5.74	**
	90% methanol extract U ₂	5	103.05 \pm 5.13	**
	90% methanol extract (boiled) A ₁	3	111.38 \pm 7.19	*
	90% methanol extract (boiled) A ₂	2	108.77 \pm 8.66	*
	90% methanol residue B ₁	5	133.12 \pm 5.17	-
	90% methanol residue B ₂	5	115.81 \pm 5.13	*
	90% methanol residue (boiled) C ₁	5	123.06 \pm 5.20	-
	90% methanol residue (boiled) C ₂	4	127.36 \pm 6.10	-

Adjusted mean \pm S.E. = mean of ovarian ascorbic acid content (in μ g ascorbic acid) of second ovary adjusted by covariance to the ascorbic acid content of first ovary (see ¹⁰). S.E., standard error. P, probability of statistical significance when compared to the saline control group by the multiple comparison test of DUNNETT; -, not significant; *, 0.05 level; **, 0.01 level. Explanations for subscripts as in Table I.

¹¹ E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, *Endocrinology* 72, 804 (1963).

¹² R. GUILLEMIN, W. R. HEARN, W. R. CHEEK and D. E. Housholder, *Endocrinology* 60, 488 (1957).

¹³ E. SAKIZ and R. GUILLEMIN, *Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med.* 115, 856 (1964).

¹⁴ Supported by U.S.P.H.S. research grants No. AM 08290.03, No. HD 02577.01, training grant No. GM 956.04; also No. FR 00254 to Common Research Computer Facility, Texas Medical Center.